Case 1:16-cv-01445-NRB Document 139 Filed 04/10/19 Page 1 of 5

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

٠,	ON THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND A	
-	USDC SDNY	
	DOCUMENT	
	ELECTRONICALLY FILE	D
	DOC #:	
-	DATE FILED: 4 10 19	

In re BHP BILLITON LIMITED SECURITIES LITIGATION	
This Document Relates To:	
ALL ACTIONS.	

Civil Action No. 1:16-cv-01445-NRB

## **CLASS ACTION**

[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES AND
AWARD TO LEAD PLAINTIFFS
PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4)

This matter having come before the Court on March 5, 2019, on Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses ("Fee Motion"), the Court, having considered all papers filed and proceedings conducted herein, having found the settlement of this class action (the "Litigation") to be fair, reasonable and adequate, and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefor;

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. All of the capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement ("Stipulation" or "Settlement") filed with the Court and the memorandum of law in support of the Fee Motion submitted in support thereof. *See* ECF Nos. 103, 116.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this application and all matters relating thereto, including all members of the Class who have not timely and validly requested exclusion.
- 3. Notice of Lead Counsel's Fee Motion was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the Fee Motion met the requirements of Rules 23 and 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(7), the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, due process, and any other applicable law, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 4. The Court hereby awards Lead Counsel attorneys' fees of 30% of the Settlement Amount, plus expenses in the amount of \$435,998.92, together with the interest earned on such amounts for the same time period and at the same rate as that earned by the Settlement Fund. The

Court finds that the amount of fees awarded is appropriate, fair, and reasonable under the "percentage-of-recovery" method.

- 5. The fees and expenses shall be allocated among Plaintiffs' other counsel in a manner which, in Lead Counsel's good-faith judgment, reflects the contributions of such counsel to the prosecution and settlement of the Litigation.
- 6. The awarded attorneys' fees and expenses shall be paid immediately to Lead Counsel subject to the terms, conditions, and obligations of the Stipulation.
- 7. In making the award to Lead Counsel of attorneys' fees and litigation expenses to be paid from the recovery, the Court has considered and found that:
- (a) The Settlement has created a common fund of \$50,000,000 in cash and that numerous Class Members who submit acceptable Proofs of Claim will benefit from the Settlement created by the efforts of Lead Counsel;
- (b) The requested attorneys' fees and payment of litigation expenses have been approved as fair and reasonable by the Lead Plaintiffs;
- (c) Notice was disseminated to Class Members stating that Lead Counsel would be moving for attorneys' fees not to exceed 30% of the Settlement Amount and payment of litigation expenses in an amount not to exceed \$500,000, plus interest earned on both amounts;
- (d) Lead Counsel has expended substantial time and effort pursuing the Litigation on behalf of the Class;
- (e) Lead Counsel pursued the Litigation on a contingent basis, having received no compensation during the Litigation, and any fee award has been contingent on the result achieved;
- (f) The Litigation involves complex factual and legal issues and, in the absence of the Settlement, would involve lengthy proceedings whose resolution would be uncertain;

Case 1:16-cv-01445-NRB Document 139 Filed 04/10/19 Page 4 of 5

(g) Lead Counsel conducted the Litigation and achieved the Settlement with

skillful and diligent advocacy;

(h) Public policy concerns favor the award of reasonable attorneys' fees in

securities class action litigation;

(i) The amount of attorneys' fees awarded is fair and reasonable and consistent

with awards in similar cases within the Second Circuit; and

(j) Plaintiffs' counsel devoted 10,365 hours, with a lodestar value of

\$5,516,276.25 to achieve the Settlement.

8. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any attorneys'

fee and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment entered

with respect to the Settlement.

9. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4), the Court awards \$3,148.20 to Lead Plaintiff City

of Birmingham Retirement and Relief System and \$3,257.80 to Lead Plaintiff City of Birmingham

Firemen's and Policemen's Supplemental Pension System.

10. The Court has considered the objection to the fee award filed by John W. Davis and

finds it to be without merit. The objection is therefore overruled in its entirety.

11. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or does not become Final or the

Effective Date does not occur in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, this Order shall be

rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulation and shall be vacated in accordance

with the Stipulation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 10,2019

THE HON. NAOMI REICE BUCHWALD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 1:16-cv-01445-NRB Document 139 Filed 04/10/19 Page 5 of 5

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Joseph Russello, hereby certify that on January 29, 2019, I authorized a true and correct copy of the foregoing document to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such public filing to all counsel registered to receive such notice.

/s/ Joseph Russello	
JOSEPH RUSSELLO	